

DISPELLING MISCONCEPTIONS – NOT ALL FLUORIDE TOOTHPASTES ARE EQUAL



Dr. Ward Noble

Does toothpaste matter? Does it really make a difference with oral health outcomes?

There are many misconceptions when it comes to choosing a toothpaste.

Historically, the use of sodium fluoride (NaF) toothpastes have proven to be highly effective for treatment of dental caries and are an important part of caries risk management systems (CAMBRA). More recently, however, the inclusion of stannous fluoride (SnF₂) in toothpaste formulations has been shown to provide multiple oral health benefits in addition to those found in NaF dentifrices. For example, in addition to anti-caries treatment SnF₂ also helps in the management of plaque toxicity, gingivitis and bleeding gums, erosive tooth wear, hypersensitivity and even bad breath. The focus of this short report will be on SnF₂ benefits related to periodontal disease and erosive tooth wear.

Misconception #1: Toothpastes containing NaF and SnF₂ provide the same oral health benefits

The introduction of NaF into toothpaste formulations more than 60 years ago has provided incredible oral health benefits worldwide. However, over the last decade SnF₂ dentifrices have been introduced and with the use of Crest Gum & ProHealth products, patients can achieve more benefits over the use of regular NaF product. In addition to the prevention and control of dental caries, these benefits include improvement in periodontal bleeding sites associated with gingivitis, decreases in the amount of erosive tooth wear, control of hypersensitivity and control of bad breath.

Misconception #2: The main benefit of toothpastes containing fluorides is to manage dental caries

To highlight the benefits noted above, it should be noted that a recent meta-analysis showed that using a stabilized SnF₂ product (vs. a positive control NaF product) resulted in a 51% benefit in bleeding sites and also generally improved periodontal health, including gingivitis.² Another study showed that a stabilized SnF₂ toothpaste reduced plaque toxicity, thus improving gingival health.³ These benefits of the above studies were similar to what patients would achieve with 2 weeks flossing (40% reduction in bleeding)^{†4} and what their condition would be one week after a prophylaxis treatment (40-66% decrease in bleeding sites).⁵

1 WEEK
POST-PROPHY

40-66%
REDUCTION
IN BLEEDING

There are also important benefits in the prevention of dental erosion, as the stannous material provides a protective coating against acid attacks on both enamel and dentin.⁶



Misconception #3: Relative Dentin Abrasiveness (RDA) values are primarily related to dental wear

Today's acidic diet continues to be a leading cause of dental wear for patients. Regarding Relative Dentin Abrasiveness (RDA) values, stabilized SnF₂ products are well below the recommended RDA maximum of 250. In fact, with everyday brushing in the presence of saliva and the biofilm coating on the teeth, it has been suggested that over a lifetime differences in tooth wear, if RDA values of the dentifrice are less than 250, are minimal.⁷

Conclusion

Toothpaste matters and the use of a stabilized SnF₂ dentifrice will provide multiple oral health benefits to our patients.



[†]Does not replace flossing

References: 1. Young D, et al. Curing the Silent Epidemic: Caries Management in the 21st Century and Beyond. *J Calif Dent Asso*. 2010;54:507-525. 2. Biesbroek A, et al. The Effects of Bioavailable Gluconate Chelated Stannous Fluoride Dentifrice on Gingival Bleeding: Meta-Analysis of Eighteen Randomized Controlled Trials. *J Clin Periodontol*, Dec 2019. 3. Klukowska, et al. Clinical Effects of Stabilized Stannous Fluoride Dentifrice in Reducing Plaque Microbial Virulence I: Microbiological and Receptor Cell Findings. *J Clin Dent*. 2017 Jun;28(2):16-24. 4. Biesbroek A, et al. Assessment of treatment responses to dental flossing in twins. *J Periodontol*, 2006; 77:1386-1391. 5. McClanahan SF, et al. Identification and consequences of distinct Löe-Silness Gingival Index examiner styles for the clinical assessment of gingivitis. *J Periodontol*, 2001; 72: 383-392. 6. Carvalho TS, et al. Consensus Report of the European Federation of Conservative Dentistry: Erosive Tooth Wear-Diagnosis and Management. *Clin Oral Investig*. 2018; 39(3):1-5. 7. Maragliano-Muniz. Setting the record straight about toothpaste abrasivity. RDH, Dec. 2016, pp. 2-6.